

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/566,384	FROSTRUP ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> VALERIE RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** appealed

(1) VALERIE RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA.

(3) MaryAnne Armstrong.

(2) Laura Stockton.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 November 2009

**Time:** 12:30

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*103(a) rejections of claims 13-15 and 20 as being unpatentable over Scheel-Kruger et al. (US 6,288,079B1) as evidenced by Berge et al. (J. Pharm. Sci. 1977; 66(1):1-19)*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claims 13-15 and 20.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 15, possible allowability if rewritten in independent form, because the signed Declaration of 11/10/2008 would overcome the rejection of claim 15.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/V. R.G./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)